

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/982,978	10/22/2001	Thomas R. Coolidge	089187-0414	7246	
28381 7:	590 04/21/2004		EXAMINER		
ARNOLD & PORTER LLP			MELLER, MICHAEL V		
ATTN: IP DOCKETING DEPT. 555 TWELFTH STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1206			1654	1654	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/982,978	COOLIDGE ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael V. Meller	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoingly the section under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec		and a through Elland and an and an amb				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-7, 10-12, 14, 17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:						
		Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1654				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record. Applicant argues that there is a need in the art for such a treatment as claimed. There is no need, the treatment is known as is evidenced by applicant's own article submitted, Bauters et al.. Bauters shows that there is indeed a connection between diabetic cardiomyopathy and diabetes as would be logical since it has to to with diabetes. Bauter establishes that the two are connected to eachother and that heart failure is also connected. Many people that have diabetes develop diabetic cardiomyopathy.